

#2503

RECEIVED

2007 OCT 29 AM 10: 23

NDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

October 24,2007

Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re

Proposed Amendments to 61 Pa Code, Chapter 91 (relating to realty

transfer tax) ("Draft Regulations")

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

We do a great deal of real estate business in Pennsylvania and are very concerned about the Draft Regulations the Department of Revenue has promulgated revising the realty transfer tax regulations. These regulations in certain instances are contrary to the law and also are bad public policy. They will discourage real estate transactions in Pennsylvania. Among the significant issues raised by the Draft Regulations are:

- realty transfer tax would be imposed on the assignment of a contract to purchase real estate. Taxing this type of transaction is contrary to the law under the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's holding in the <u>Allebach</u> case;
- like kind exchanges may well be subject to **four** transfer taxes because these regulations provide, contrary to the Federal law, that neither a "qualified intermediary" nor an "exchange accommodation title holder" are agents of the taxpayer. Pennsylvania would be the only state in the country where exchanges would be subject to such multiple realty transfer taxes; and
- in contrast to the existing regulations, in a sale leaseback transaction, if the lease term (including most options to renew) is 30 years or more, both the sale and the lease will be subject to realty transfer tax unless the transaction is a financing, as narrowly defined by the DOR. Thus, many sale leaseback transactions will be subject to two realty transfer taxes on the initial sale and leaseback, and a **third** realty transfer tax if the property ultimately is conveyed back to the seller.

and the configuration of the following the contract of the first property of the contract of the contract of

phone the Louis Source the chiefestrations in Length Language, in the chiefest continuent of the land and the source to negativities. These regimentoes in Length Tanabole, the promesty to the land and the new Louis philips points. They will discounsage post estate transmittees in Remembries which was any one Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director October 24, 2007 Page 2

For these reasons, we urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject these regulations and request the DOR to issue regulations that comply with the law and are good public policy. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Barry Howard

Executive Vice President

cc: Gregory C. Fait, Chief of Staff

There you have been well.

I enjoyed working with

you on the AMTRAK

30h Street Gorge

Barry